

University of Groningen

The dialectic of ambiguity

Laar, Jan Albert van

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2003

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Laar, J. A. V. (2003). *The dialectic of ambiguity: a contribution to the study of argumentation*. s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- E.M. Barth, *Evaluaties*, Assen: Van Gorcum, 1972.
- E.M. Barth, A Normative-Pragmatical Foundation of the Rules of some Systems of Formal₃ Dialectics. In: E.M. Barth, J.L. Martens, *Argumentation: Approaches to theory formation*, Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 1982, pp. 159-170.
- E.M. Barth, E.C.W. Krabbe, *From Axiom to Dialogue : A Philosophical Study of Logics and Argumentation*. Berlin, New York: de Gruyter, 1982.
- E.M. Barth, A new field: Empirical logic. Bioprograms, logemes and logics as institutions, *Synthese* 63, 1985, pp. 375-388.
- E.M. Barth, J.L. Martens, *Argumentum ad hominem*: From chaos to formal dialectic. The method of dialogue tableaux as a tool in the theory of fallacy. In: *Logique et Analyse*, 20, 1977, pp. 76-96.
- D. Batens, Inconsistency-adaptive logics. In: E. Orłowska (ed.), *Logic at Work: Essays Dedicated to the Memory of Helena Rasiowa*, Heidelberg, New York: Springer, 1998.
- U. Blau, *Die dreiwertige Logik der Sprache: Ihre Syntax, Semantik und Anwendung in der Sprachanalyse*, Berlin, New York: De Gruyter, 1978.
- L.E.J. Brouwer, et al, *Signifische dialogen*, Utrecht: Erven J. Bijleveld, 1922.
- Carnap, *Logical Foundations of Probability*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1963.
- R. Crawshaw-Williams, *Methods and Criteria of Reasoning: An Inquiry into the Structure of Controversy*, London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1957.
- F.H. van Eemeren et al, *Fundamentals of argumentation theory: A Handbook of Historical Backgrounds and Contemporary Developments*, Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1996.
- F. H. van Eemeren, R. Grootendorst, *Speech acts in argumentative discussions directed towards solving conflicts of opinion*, Dordrecht (etc.): Foris Publications, 1984.
- F.H. Van Eemeren, R. Grootendorst, *Argumentation, Communication and Fallacies*. Hillsdale N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum, 1992.
- F.H. Van Eemeren, R. Grootendorst, *Kritische Discussie*, Amsterdam: Sic Sat, 2000.
- F.H. Van Eemeren, R. Grootendorst, B. Meuffels, M. Verburg, The (un)reasonableness of ad hominem fallacies. In: F.H. Van Eemeren, R. Grootendorst, J. A. Blair, C. A. Willard (eds.), *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (June 16-19, 1998)*, Amsterdam: SIC SAT, 1999, pp. 172-177.
- F.H. van Eemeren, B. Meuffels, Ordinary arguers' judgments on ad hominem fallacies. In: F.H. van Eemeren (ed.), *Advances in Pragma-Dialectics*, Amsterdam: Sic Sat / Newport News; Virginia: Vale Press, 2002, pp. 45-64.
- F.H. van Eemeren, R. Grootendorst, S. Jackson, S. Jacobs, *Reconstructing argumentative discourse*, London, Tuscaloosa: The University of Alabama Press, 1993.
- F.H. Van Eemeren, P. Houtlosser, Delivering the goods in critical discussion. In: F. H. Van Eemeren, R. Grootendorst, J. A. Blair, C. A. Willard (eds.), *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (June 16-19, 1998)*, Amsterdam: SIC SAT, 1999, pp. 163-167.
- F.H. Van Eemeren, P. Houtlosser, Fallacies as derailments of strategic maneuvering: The *argumentum ad verecundiam*, a case in point. In: *Argumentation and its Applications*. In: The proceedings from the Conference of The Ontario Society

Bibliography

- for the Study of Argumentation, May 17-19, 2001, University of Windsor, Windsor, Ontario. Forthcoming (2003).
- K. Fine, Vagueness, truth and logic, *Synthese* 30, 1975, pp. 265-300.
- M. Finocchiaro, *Galileo and the Art of Reasoning: Rhetorical Foundations of Logic and Scientific Method*, Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1980.
- M. Gilbert, *Coalescent argumentation*, Mahway, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1997.
- T. Govier, *Problems in Argument Analysis and Evaluation*, Dordrecht, Providence RI: Foris Publications, 1987.
- H.P. Grice, Logic and Conversation. In: H.P. Grice, *Studies in the way of words*, Cambridge Mass., London: Harvard University Press, 1989, pp. 22-40.
- L. Groarke, Towards a pragma-dialectics of visual argument. In: F.H. van Eemeren (ed.), *Advances in Pragma-Dialectics*, Amsterdam: Sic Sat / Newport News; Virginia: Vale Press, 2002, pp. 137-151.
- A.D. De Groot, Signific Concept Analysis. In: A.F. Heyerman, J. van Nieuwstadt (eds.), *Significs, Mathematics and Semiotics*, Amsterdam, 1988, pp. 161-186.
- A.D. De Groot, F.L. Medendorp, *Term, begrip, theorie: inleiding tot significante begripsanalyse*, Meppel (etc.): Boom, 1986.
- C.L. Hamblin, *Fallacies*, Virginia: Vale Press, 1970.
- W. James, *Pragmatism and four essays from The Meaning of Truth*, New York: Meridian Books, 1955.
- R.H. Johnson, *Manifest rationality: a pragmatic theory of argument*, Mahwah N.J. (etc.): Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2000.
- R.H. Johnson, J.A. Blair, *Logical self-defense*, New York: McGraw-Hill, [1977] 1994.
- R. Keefe, *Theories of vagueness*, Cambridge (etc.): Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- E.C.W. Krabbe, Logica en spel, *Wijsgerig perspectief op maatschappij en wetenschap* 31, 1990-1991, pp. 102-111.
- E.C.W. Krabbe, *Wat Is Eigenlijk Een Drogreden?*, Inaugural speech at the Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, 1997.
- E.C.W. Krabbe, Who is Afraid of Figure of Speech, *Argumentation* 12, 1998, pp. 281-294.
- E.C.W. Krabbe. The Dialectic of Quasi-Logical Arguments. In: F.H. Van Eemeren, R. Grootendorst, J.A. Blair, C.A. Willard (eds.), *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (June 16-19, 1998)*, Amsterdam: SIC SAT, 1999, pp. 464-471.
- E.C.W. Krabbe, Profiles of dialogue as a dialectical tool. In: F.H. Van Eemeren (ed.), *Advances in Pragma-Dialectics*, Amsterdam: Sic Sat / Newport News; Virginia: Vale Press, 2002, pp. 153-167.
- E.C.W. Krabbe, Metadialogues. In: The proceedings of the conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation, 2002, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam. Forthcoming (2003a).
- E.C.W. Krabbe, Strategies in Dialectic and Rhetoric. In: *Argumentation and its Applications*, Proceedings from the Conference of The Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, May 17-19, 2001, University of Windsor, Windsor, Ontario. Forthcoming (2003b).
- J.A. van Laar, Ambiguity in a Dialectical Perspective, *Informal Logic*, 21, 2001, pp. 245-266.
- J.A. van Laar, The use of dialogue profiles for the study of ambiguity. In: The proceedings of the conference of the International Society for the Study of

- Argumentation, 2002, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam. Forthcoming (2003).
- G. Leech, *Semantics: The Study of Meaning*, second edition, Harmondsworth (etc.): Penguin Books, 1981.
- D. Lewis, Logic for Equivocators, *Nous* 16, 1982, pp. 431-441.
- P. Lorenzen, *Normative Logic and Ethics*, Mannheim (etc.): Bibliographisches Institut (etc.), 1969.
- J. Mackenzie, Distinguo: the response to equivocation, *Argumentation* 2, 1988, pp. 465-482.
- J. Mackenzie, G. Priest, Paraconsistent dialogues; or, how to start talking to Cretans, *Logique & Analyse* 131-132, 1990, pp. 339-357.
- J. Mackenzie, The Dialectics of Logic, *Logique et Analyse*, 1981, pp. 159-177.
- J. Mackenzie, Four Dialogue Systems, *Studia Logica*, 49, 1990, pp. 567-583.
- J.S. Mill, Utilitarianism. In: H.B. Acton (ed.), *Utilitarianism, Liberty, Representative Government*, London: J.M. Dent & Sons Ltd., 1972, pp. 1-61.
- A. Naess, *Interpretation and preciseness: a contribution to the theory of communication*, Oslo: Dybwad, 1953.
- A. Naess, *Communication and argument: elements of applied semantics*, Oslo: Universitetsforlaget; London: Allen & Unwin, 1966.
- A. Naess, How can the empirical movement be promoted today? A discussion of the empiricism of Otto Neurath and Rudolph Carnap. In: E.M. Barth, J. Vandormael, F. Vandamme, *From an empirical point of view: The empirical turn in logic*, Communication & Cognition: Gent, 1992, pp. 107-155.
- Ch. Perelman, *The Realm of Rhetoric*, Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, 1982.
- Ch. Perelman, *The Idea of Justice and the Problems of Arguments*, London (etc.): Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1963.
- Ch. Perelman, Olbrechts-Tyteca, *The New Rhetoric: a Treatise on Argumentation*, Notre Dame, (etc): University of Notre Dame Press, 1969.
- Ch. Perelman, *Justice, Law and Argument: Essays on Moral and Legal Reasoning*, Dordrecht (etc.): D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1980.
- M. Pinkal, *Logic and Lexicon*, Dordrecht (etc.): Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995.
- L.H. Powers, Equivocation. In: H.V. Hansen, R.C. Pinto (eds.), *Fallacies: Classical and Contemporary Readings*, University Park: The Pennsylvania State University Press, 1995, pp. 287-301.
- W.V. Quine, *Word and Object*, Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 1960.
- N. Rescher, *Dialectics: A Controversy-oriented approach to the theory of knowledge*, Albany, N.J.: State University of New York Press, 1997.
- J.R. Searle, *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1970.
- S.B. Shimanoff, *Communication rules: theory and research*, Beverly Hills, Calif.: Dell Hathaway Hymes, 1980.
- H. Siegel, J. Biro, Epistemic Normativity, Argumentation, and Fallacies, *Argumentation* 11, 1997, pp. 277-292.
- J.R. Taylor, *Linguistic categorization: prototypes in linguistic theory*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1995.
- The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*, Boston: American Heritage Publishing Co., Inc & Houghton Mifflin Company, etc., 1970.
- The Oxford English Dictionary* 2nd edition, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1989.
- G. Vanackere, Ambiguity-adaptive logic, *Logique & Analyse* 159, 1997, pp. 261-280.

Bibliography

- Verslag der Handelingen van de Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal*, Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal, 1814 - ...
- H. Walter-Schmitz, *De Hollandse Significa*, Assen (etc.): Van Gorcum, 1990.
- D.N. Walton, *Informal fallacies: towards a theory of argument criticisms*, Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins Pub. Co., 1987.
- D.N. Walton, *Argument schemes for presumptive reasoning*, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1996a.
- D.N. Walton, *Fallacies arising from ambiguity*, Dordrecht (etc.): Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996b.
- D.N. Walton, New Dialectical Rules for Ambiguity, *Informal Logic* 20, 2000, pp. 261-274.
- D.N. Walton, E.C.W. Krabbe, *Commitment in Dialogue: Basic Concepts of Interpersonal Reasoning*, New York: State University of New York Press, 1995.
- Webster's Revised Unabridged Dictionary*, Springfield, Mass: C. & G. Merriam Co., 1913.
- Webster's third new international dictionary of the English language*, Springfield, Mass: Merriam-Webster, 1986.
- C. A. Willard, *A theory of argumentation*, Tuscaloosa: The University of Alabama Press, 1989.
- T. Williamson, *Vagueness*, London (etc.): Routledge, 1994.
- J. Woods, Speaking Your Mind: Inarticulateness Constitutional and Circumstantial, *Argumentation* 16, 2002, pp. 59-78.